

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Astent and Tradem..rk Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

02/22/99

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICAN | IT] | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 08/834.77 | 7 04/03/97 | HEINDEL | 1 | 11.589.3 |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| QM41/0222 JEFFREY B CURTIN KIMBERLY CLARK WORLDWIDE INC | | | OHO. I | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH WI 54956 | | | 3735 | 8 |
| | | | DATE MAILED. | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| | ADVIOUTI ACTOR |
|-------------|--|
| □ TH | IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: |
| e) 🔀 | is extended to run 3 worths or continues to run from the date of the final rejection |
| ь) <u>г</u> | expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. |
| | Any extension of time must be obtained by filing e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortaned statutory period for response or as set forth in b) ebove. |
| _ A | ppellant's Brief is due in eccordance with 37 CFR 1.192(e). |
| | pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed $1-11-99$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowanca: |
| 1. 🗀 | The proposed emendments to the claim end for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: |
| | e. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed emendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. |
| | b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or seerch. (See Note). |
| | c. They raise the issue of new metter. (See Note). |
| | d. They ere not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by meterially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. |
| | e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: |
| 2 | Newly proposed or amended claims |
| з. (Ж | Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed emendment 🌠 will be entered 🗔 will not be entered end the status of the claims will be as follows: |
| | Claims allowed: |
| | Claims objected to: |
| | Claims rejected: 35, 43, 44, 48, 50 - 57 |
| | However; |
| | Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 1/2, 2 nd Rejection |
| 4. [| The effidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideretion has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because |
| 5 | The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good end sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. |
| <u> </u> | e proposed drawing correction |
| □ 01 | |

John G. Weiss Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700